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## Overview

- Categorical on Interval Variables
- Categorical on Categorical Variables
- $\chi^{2}$ test
- Interval on Interval Variables
- Third Variables


## Introduction

|  |  | Dependent Variable |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Categorical | Interval |
| Indep. Variable |  | Crostabs | Crosstabs | | Compare means |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Interval |

## Categorical on Interval Variable

## Interval DV, Categorical IV

- Does the predominant religion of a country affect its income?
- GDP $<-$ religion
- Compare means

|  | N | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protestant | 30 | 30321.38 | 5199.057 | 22386.6 | 41245.8 |
| Mixed | 18 | 28380.27 | 6094.755 | 22295.1 | 38826.8 |
| Catholic | 48 | 19612.95 | 5732.95 | 10942.8 | 30669.4 |

- What do you want to know?


## Means difference test Protestant v. Catholic

- $T=\frac{H_{a}-H_{0}}{s_{\text {diff }}}$;

$$
s e_{\text {diff }}=\sqrt{s e_{1}^{2}+s e_{2}^{2}} ; \quad s e=\frac{s}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

- Here:
$s e_{\text {diff }}=\sqrt{(5199.057 / \sqrt{30})^{2}+(5732.95 / \sqrt{48})^{2}}=1259.2576$
- $T=\frac{10708.43-0}{1259.2576}=8.5037$
- Where is that on the T-distribution?
- Far out! Reject $H_{0}$, and conclude that there is a significant difference in income between Protestant and Catholic countries.


## Categorical on Categorical Variable

## Categorical DV, Categorical IV

- You claim that women are more likely to watch the Academy Awards than men.
- Your friend tells you that he has a male friend who always watches the Oscars, and that you cannot 'generalize'.
- Can you generalize?


## Testing Categorical DV on Categorical IV

- Collect data

| Obs. | Gender | Watch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | F | Y |
| 2 | F | N |
| 3 | M | Y |
| 4 | F | N |
| 5 | F | Y |
| 6 | M | N |
| 7 | F | Y |
| 8 | M | Y |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 1004 | F | Y |

- A bit overwhelming...


## Categorical DV, Categorical IV

- Crosstabulation

|  | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Watch | 331 | 170 |
| Don't Watch | 210 | 293 |

- Would be easy if it were something like this:

|  | Female | Male |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Watch | 502 | 50 |
| Don't Watch | 50 | 402 |

## Categorical DV, Categorical IV

- Need to compare the values of the DV across the IV
- Calculate proportions of columns (IV), and compare across rows (DV)
- Watch out, sometimes DV is in columns, so need to inverse the process

|  | Female | Male | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Watch | 331 | 170 | 501 |
|  | $61 \%$ | $37 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Don't Watch | 210 | 293 | 503 |
|  | $39 \%$ | $63 \%$ |  |
| Total | 541 | 463 | 1004 |
|  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

## Categorical DV, Categorical IV

- Are viewers more likely to be female than male?
- Calculate proportions of rows (IV), and compare across columns (DV)

|  | Female | Male | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Watch | 331 | 170 | 501 |
|  | $66 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Don't Watch | 210 | 293 | 503 |
|  | $42 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 541 | 463 | 1004 |

## $\chi^{2}$ test

## Testing relationships between categorical variables

- We want to test how cases are dispersed across the dependent variable
- $H_{0}=$ every category of the IV should have the same distribution as the total, i.e. the IV does not matter.
Party ID and career crosstabulation

|  |  | Law | Politics | Business | Education | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | N | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 14 |
|  | $\%$ | 42.9 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Democrat | N | 10 |  | 10 | 2 |  |
|  | $\%$ | 41.7 | 41.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| Other | N | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 21 |
|  | $\%$ | 28.6 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 14.3 | 100 |
| Total | N | 22 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 59 |
|  | $\%$ | 37.3 | 28.8 | 23.7 | 10.2 | 100 |

## $\chi^{2}$ Test

- To test $H_{0}$, we use the $\chi^{2}$ (read chi-squared) test
- This test compares each observed frequency (fo) with the expected (total) frequency (fe)
- E.g. if $H_{0}$ is correct, $37.3 \%$ of the 14 republicans $(=5.22)$ should want to go to into law; and $28.8 \%$ of the 14 Republicans ( $=4.03$ ) should want to go into politics
- Test: sum the squared differences and divide by the expected frequency for all cells: $\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(f f_{i}-f e_{i}\right)^{2}}{f e_{i}}$; where $\mathrm{N}=$ number of cells (12)
Party ID and career crosstabulation

|  |  | Law | Politics | Business | Education | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | N | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 14 |
|  | $\%$ | 42.9 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Democrat | N | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | 41.7 | 41.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 100 |
| Other | N | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $\%$ | 28.6 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 14.3 | 100 |
| Total | N | 22 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 59 |
|  | $\%$ | 37.3 | 28.8 | 23.7 | 10.2 |  |

## $\chi^{2}$ Test

－The $\chi^{2}$ test：$\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(f o_{i}-f e_{i}\right)^{2}}{f f_{i}}=$

$$
(6-5.2)^{2} / 5.2+(2-4.0)^{2} / 4.0+\ldots=7.87
$$

－Apply this value to the $\chi^{2}$ distribution with appropriate degrees of freedom
－ $\operatorname{Df}=(\text { number of rows }-1)^{*}($ number of columns -1$)=$ $(3-1) *(4-1)=6$
Party ID and career crosstabulation

|  |  | Law | Politics | Business | Education | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Republican | N | 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 14 |  |  |
|  | $\exp \mathrm{N}$ | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 14 |  |  |
|  | \％ | 42.9 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 7.1 | 100 |  |  |
| Democrat | $N$ | 10 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 24 |  |  |
|  | $\exp \mathrm{N}$ | 8.9 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 24 |  |  |
|  | \％ | 41.7 | 41.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 100 |  |  |
| Other | $N$ | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 21 |  |  |
|  | $\exp \mathrm{N}$ | 7.8 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 21 |  |  |
|  | \％ | 28.6 | 23.8 | 33.3 | 14.3 | 100 |  |  |
| Total | N | 22 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 59 |  |  |
|  | \％ | 37.3 | 28.8 | 23.7 | 10.2 | 100 | 三 | わのく |

## $\chi^{2}$ Test

- Our value of $\chi^{2}$ is 7.78
- What is the critical value of $\chi^{2}$ at the 0.05 confidence level with 6 df ? Chi2-table
- The answer is 12.592 . Our $\chi^{2}$ is smaller than the critical value, so it is possible that 7.87 could occur more than 5 times out of 100 by random chance.
- We fail to reject $H_{0}$; there is no statistically significant difference between party ID and career choice.



## Interval on Interval Variable

## Measures of Association

- Is a level of one variable associated with the level of another?
- Sample Covariance: $\operatorname{Cov}(X Y)=S_{(X Y)}=\frac{\sum\left(x_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)}{N-1}$
- Sample Correlation: $\operatorname{Corr}(X Y)=r_{(X Y)}=\frac{\sum\left(\frac{x_{i}-\bar{X}}{S_{X}}\right)\left(\frac{y_{i}-\bar{Y}}{S_{Y}}\right)}{N-1}$
- Correlation standardizes Covariance by dividing covariance by the standard deviations of X and Y .
- Hence correlation is bounded between -1 and 1 .


## Scatterplot

## $X$ and $Y$



Figure: Little association: $r_{X Y}=-0.38$

## Scatterplot



Figure: Strong association: $r_{X Z}=0.99$

## Third Variables

## Third Variables

- In reality, we are not just interested in the relationship between two variables
- We want to be sure that the relationship between $X$ and $Y$ takes into account other, potentially intervening, factors.
- How can third variables matter?
(1) Spurious relationships $=$ hidden variable
(2) Multivariate relationships $=$ omitted variable
(3) Conditioned relationships $=$ interaction or moderation


## Spurious relationships

- The relationship between $X$ and $Y$ is caused by a hidden third variable Z that causes both X and Y .
- When $Z$ is controlled for, the relationship between $X$ and $Y$ is not significant (not there).
- Shoe size $\rightarrow$ reading ability
- Spurious on age
- If we consider the relationship (shoe size $\rightarrow$ reading ability) within each age category (year), relationship disappears.



## Multivariate relationships

- The relationship between X and Y stands, but an omitted third variable also causes Y .
- When $Z$ is controlled for, the relationship between $X$ and $Y$ is altered (weakened or strengthened).
- Religiosity $\rightarrow$ happiness
- Happiness is also caused by income, and income is correlated with religiosity.
- If we control for income, the relationship between religiosity and happiness is altered.



## Conditioned relationships

- The relationship between X and Y is moderated by a third variable Z.
- The relationship between $X$ and $Y$ changes as the values of $Z$ change.
- Economic left-right ideology $\rightarrow$ support for EU integration
- Moderated by country
- In Britain, the left is supportive of EU integration, while the right is opposed.
- In Sweden, the left is opposed to EU integration while the right is more supportive...


